

STATE OF WISCONSIN Division of Hearings and Appeals

In the Matter of		
	D	ECISION

PRELIMINARY RECITALS

Pursuant to a petition filed August 14, 2015, under Wis. Admin. Code § DHS 10.55, to review a decision by Continuus to discontinue nursing home level Family Care eligibility, a hearing was held on October 21, 2015, by telephone. A hearing set for September 23, 2015 was rescheduled at the petitioner's request.

The issue for determination is whether the agency correctly determined that petitioner no longer met the level of care requirement for FCP eligibility.

PARTIES IN INTEREST:

Petitioner:



Respondent:

Department of Health Services 1 West Wilson Street, Room 651 Madison, Wisconsin 53703

By: Continuus
28526 US Hwy 14
Lone Rock, WI 53556

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Brian C. Schneider Division of Hearings and Appeals

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner (CARES # is a resident of Sauk County.
- 2. Petitioner became eligible for the FCP in July, 2014. She was scheduled for an annual re-screen in July, 2015.
- 3. The initial screener found that petitioner was independent in all activities of daily living (ADLs). She needed slight help with some instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs) including grocery shopping, medication management, transportation, and laundry. The result of the screen

was that petitioner no longer met the nursing home level of care for full FCP services. By a notice dated July 30, 2015, the agency informed petitioner that current services would end August 14, 2015. Specifically the notice informed petitioner that residential services would be discontinued because they are not included in non-nursing home level of care cases.

4. A rescreen was done in early August, but the results were the same.

DISCUSSION

The Family Care program, which is supervised by the Department of Health Services, is designed to provide appropriate long-term care services for elderly or disabled adults. It is authorized in the Wisconsin Statutes, §46.286, and is described comprehensively in the Wisconsin Administrative Code, Chapter DHS 10.

Wis. Admin. Code, §DHS 10.33(2) provides that an FCP applicant must have a functional capacity level of comprehensive or intermediate; I note here that Wis. Stat., §46.286, uses the terms "nursing home" and "non-nursing home" levels just as the agency in this case. If the person meets the comprehensive (nursing home) level, she is eligible for full services through a care management organization (CMO), including Medical Assistance (MA). Wis. Admin. Code, §DHS 10.36(1)(a). If the person meets the intermediate (non-nursing home) level, she is eligible for full services only if she is in need of adult protective services or she is financially eligible for MA. Wis. Admin. Code, §DHS 10.36(1)(b). A person eligible under the non-nursing home level is eligible for less FCP services.

Wis. Admin. Code, §DHS 10.33(2)(c) describes comprehensive functional capacity:

- (c) Comprehensive functional capacity level. A person is functionally eligible at the comprehensive level if the person requires ongoing care, assistance or supervision from another person, as is evidenced by any of the following findings from application of the functional screening:
- 1. The person cannot safely or appropriately perform 3 or more activities of daily living.
- 2. The person cannot safely or appropriately perform 2 or more ADLs and one or more instrumental activities of daily living.
- 3. The person cannot safely or appropriately perform 5 or more IADLs.
- 4. The person cannot safely or appropriately perform one or more ADL and 3 or more IADLs and has cognitive impairment.
- 5. The person cannot safely or appropriately perform 4 or more IADLs and has cognitive impairment.
- 6. The person has a complicating condition that limits the person's ability to independently meet his or her needs as evidenced by meeting both of the following conditions:
- a. The person requires frequent medical or social intervention to safely maintain an acceptable health or developmental status; or requires frequent changes in service due to intermittent or unpredictable changes in his or her condition; or requires a range of medical or social interventions due to a multiplicity of conditions.
- b. The person has a developmental disability that requires specialized services; or has impaired cognition exhibited by memory deficits or disorientation to person, place or time; or has impaired decision making ability exhibited by wandering, physical abuse of self or others, self neglect or resistance to needed care.

Wis. Admin. Code, §DHS 10.33(2)(d) describes intermediate functional capacity:

- d) Intermediate functional capacity level. A person is functionally eligible at the intermediate level if the person is at risk of losing his or her independence or functional capacity unless he or she receives assistance from others, as is evidenced by a finding from application of the functional screening that the person needs assistance to safely or appropriately perform either of the following:
- 1. One or more ADL.
- 2. One or more of the following critical IADLs:
 - a. Management of medications and treatments.
 - b. Meal preparation and nutrition.
 - c. Money management.

ADLs include bathing, dressing, eating, mobility, and transferring. Wis. Admin. Code, §DHS 10.13(1m). IADLs include meal preparation, medication management, money management, laundry and chores, telephone, and transportation.

The Department has developed a computerized functional assessment screening system. The system relies upon a face-to-face interview with a quality assurance screener who has at least a bachelor of science degree in a health or human services related field, with at least one year of experience working with the target populations (or, if not, an individual otherwise specifically approved by the Department based upon like combination of education and experience). The screener asks the applicant, or a recipient at a periodic review, questions about his or her medical conditions, needs, cares, skills, activities of daily living, and utilization of professional medical providers to meet these needs. The assessor then submits the Functional Screen Report for the person to the Department's Division of Disability and Elder Services. The Department enters the Long Term Functional Screen data into a computer program to see if the person meets any of the required levels of care.

If the assessor enters information into the functional screen correctly, then it is assumed that the computer will accurately determine the level of care. It is evident that petitioner does not meet the nursing home level because she does not need the assistance levels described for that level. I asked during the hearing whether petitioner was able to do her own activities because of the residence she lived in, but the response was that while the adaptions in the current residence were useful, petitioner could do her own cares regardless of the adaptions. Petitioner did not dispute that statement by the agency assessor.

The problem from petitioner's perspective is that the one major difference between FCP services for a person at the nursing home level versus that non-nursing home level is that housing is covered only for nursing home level individuals. Petitioner and her witnesses explained how petitioner has adapted to her current apartment and how she feels safe and comfortable there. My problem is that I do not have authority to make an exception to the level of care requirement. I do not have authority to, say, grandfather petitioner into her current residential situation. My only authority is to determine whether the agency correctly determined petitioner's level of care. Given that petitioner can perform her own ADLs and needs only some assistance with IADLs, I have to conclude that the agency correctly determined that petitioner's level of care is non-nursing home.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The agency correctly determined petitioner's level of care to be non-nursing home when it did her annual reassessment.

THEREFORE, it is

ORDERED

That the petition for review is hereby dismissed.

REQUEST FOR A REHEARING

You may request a rehearing if you think this decision is based on a serious mistake in the facts or the law or if you have found new evidence that would change the decision. Your request must be **received within 20 days after the date of this decision.** Late requests cannot be granted.

Send your request for rehearing in writing to the Division of Hearings and Appeals, 5005 University Avenue, Suite 201, Madison, WI 53705-5400 **and** to those identified in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST." Your rehearing request must explain what mistake the Administrative Law Judge made and why it is important or you must describe your new evidence and explain why you did not have it at your first hearing. If your request does not explain these things, it will be denied.

The process for requesting a rehearing may be found at Wis. Stat. § 227.49. A copy of the statutes may be found online or at your local library or courthouse.

APPEAL TO COURT

You may also appeal this decision to Circuit Court in the county where you live. Appeals must be filed with the Court **and** served either personally or by certified mail on the Secretary of the Department of Health Services, 1 West Wilson Street, Room 651, Madison, Wisconsin 53703, **and** on those identified in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST" **no more than 30 days after the date of this decision** or 30 days after a denial of a timely rehearing (if you request one).

The process for Circuit Court Appeals may be found at Wis. Stat. §§ 227.52 and 227.53. A copy of the statutes may be found online or at your local library or courthouse.

Given under my hand at the City of Madison, Wisconsin, this 27th day of October, 2015

\sBrian C. Schneider Administrative Law Judge Division of Hearings and Appeals

4



State of Wisconsin\DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

Brian Hayes, Administrator Suite 201 5005 University Avenue Madison, WI 53705-5400 Telephone: (608) 266-3096 FAX: (608) 264-9885 email: DHAmail@wisconsin.gov Internet: http://dha.state.wi.us

The preceding decision was sent to the following parties on October 27, 2015.

Continuus
Office of Family Care Expansion
Health Care Access and Accountability